

#### Schedule-free variational message-passing for Bayesian filtering

Wouter M. Kouw 2020-03-31



**Bayesian Intelligent Autonomous Systems lab** 

# **Bayesian filtering**

Goal: recover underlying states and parameters from a noisy signal.





#### **Free Energy Principle**

Form a Free Energy function with beliefs *q* that approximate the generative model *p*:

$$\mathcal{F}[q] = \int q(x_{1:T}) \log \frac{q(x_{1:T})}{p(y_{1:T}, x_{1:T})} \, \mathrm{d}x_{1:T}$$

 $\rightarrow$  Minimising Free Energy = updating beliefs q to match the posterior p.



#### Message passing

Model factorises  $\rightarrow$  build factor graph and perform variational message passing:





#### Message passing

Model factorises  $\rightarrow$  build factor graph and perform variational message passing:





#### **Scheduler**

end

#### Currently, automatic message passing tools such as ForneyLab.jl employ a master scheduler:

```
function stepSMF!(data::Dict, marginals::Dict=Dict(), messages::Vector{Message}=Arrav{Message}(undef, 40))
messages[1] = ruleVBGaussianMeanVarianceOut(nothing, ...
messages[2] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionM(marginals[:s 1], nothing, marginals[:w])
messages[3] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionOut(nothing, marginals[:s 0], marginals[:w])
messages[4] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionM(marginals[:s 2], nothing, marginals[:w])
messages[5] = ruleVBGaussianMeanVarianceM(ProbabilityDistribution(Univariate, PointMass, m=data[:x][1]), ...
marginals[:s 0] = messages[1].dist * messages[2].dist
marginals[:s 1] = messages[3].dist * messages[6].dist
marginals[:s 2] = messages[7].dist * messages[10].dist
marginals[:s 3] = messages[11].dist * messages[14].dist
marginals[:s 4] = messages[15].dist * messages[18].dist
return marginals
```

But such an algorithm / compiler is biologically implausible.



# **Scheduler**

end

#### Currently, automatic message passing tools such as ForneyLab.jl employ a master scheduler:

```
function stepSMF!(data::Dict, marginals::Dict=Dict(), messages::Vector{Message}=Arrav{Message}(undef, 40))
messages[1] = ruleVBGaussianMeanVarianceOut(nothing, ...
messages[2] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionM(marginals[:s 1], nothing, marginals[:w])
messages[3] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionOut(nothing, marginals[:s 0], marginals[:w])
messages[4] = ruleVBGaussianMeanPrecisionM(marginals[:s 2], nothing, marginals[:w])
messages[5] = ruleVBGaussianMeanVarianceM(ProbabilityDistribution(Univariate, PointMass, m=data[:x][1]), ...
marginals[:s 0] = messages[1].dist * messages[2].dist
marginals[:s 1] = messages[3].dist * messages[6].dist
marginals[:s 2] = messages[7].dist * messages[10].dist
marginals[:s 3] = messages[11].dist * messages[14].dist
marginals[:s 4] = messages[15].dist * messages[18].dist
return marginals
```

But such an algorithm / compiler is biologically implausible.

Research Question: How can we perform message passing without a scheduler?



Consider the following set-up:



Consider the following set-up:

1. A factor node responds to an incoming belief by passing messages to all other variables:





Consider the following set-up:

1. A factor node responds to an incoming belief by passing messages to all other variables:





Consider the following set-up:

2. A variable node is updated based on the product of incoming messages:





Consider the following set-up:

2. A variable node is updated based on the product of incoming messages:





Consider the following set-up:

2. A variable node is updated based on the product of incoming messages:







Consider the following set-up:

3. Inital state prior and observed variables start flow of messages through factor graph.





























How should this procedure be terminated?



How should this procedure be terminated?



How should this procedure be terminated?





How should this procedure be terminated?



How should this procedure be terminated?





How should this procedure be terminated?



How should this procedure be terminated?

 $\rightarrow$  Updated beliefs are shaped by priors and likelihoods and will converge.

We can measure local Free Energy,  $F[q(x_t)]$ , to check whether beliefs are being updated significantly enough.





How should this procedure be terminated?

 $\rightarrow$  Updated beliefs are shaped by priors and likelihoods and will converge.

We can measure local Free Energy,  $F[q(x_t)]$ , to check whether beliefs are being updated significantly enough.

If a message arrives at a factor node from a variable that has not changed *enough*, we can tell the node *not* to react.





#### Experiment

Comparing a scheduled message passing procedure with the schedule-free algorithm:



50

TU/e

# **Open questions**

- Will this message passing procedure produce suboptimal estimates?

 $\rightarrow$  It is entirely possible that suboptimality propagates through the graph.



# **Open questions**

- Will this message passing procedure produce suboptimal estimates?

 $\rightarrow$  It is entirely possible that suboptimality propagates through the graph.

- Implementation can be made more efficient with Functional Reactive Programming.

 $\rightarrow$  Asychronous message passing is a core feature.



#### Thanks to my fellow lab members:

















Bert de Vries

Thijs van de Laar Ivan Bocharov

Ismail Senoz

Semih Akbayrak

rak Magnus Koudahl

Koudahl Albert Podusenko

**Dmitry Bagaev** 





**Bayesian Intelligent Autonomous Systems lab**